

## The Paretian Optimum

This releases 2 units of  $X_2$  from the production of  $Q_1$ , 1 unit of which may be transferred to the production of  $Q_2$  to keep its output at the initial level. If we do all this, the output of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  would remain unchanged, and yet we are left with an extra unit of  $X_2$ . We can use this unit in the production of  $Q_1$  (or  $Q_2$ ) and get more of  $Q_1$  (or of  $Q_2$ ). Thus, one output is increased without reducing the other output.

The above example shows that if the  $MRTS_{X_1, X_2}$  in the production of the two goods are not equal, if  $MRTS$  in the production of  $Q_2$  is lower, say, than that in the production of  $Q_1$ ; then we have to take away the marginal unit of input  $X_1$  from the production of  $Q_2$  and transfer it to the production of  $Q_1$  where the  $MRTS_{X_1, X_2}$  is higher, and take away from the field the input  $X_2$ , in exchange.

As we continue the process, the  $MRTS$  in the production of  $Q_2$  would rise as the quantity of  $X_1$  falls, and the  $MRTS$  in the production of  $Q_1$  would fall as the quantity of  $X_1$  increases, and, as we have seen, the allocation becomes better in the Pareto sense.

Therefore, if we are to reach the Pareto-efficient situation, we have to continue the process till the MRTS becomes equal in the production of the two goods. For when the MRTS in the production of both the goods becomes the same, no further reallocation will be able to increase the production of at least one of the goods without reducing the production of the other good.

To understand this, let us suppose that the MRTS between the two inputs are equal in the production of the two goods, and it is equal to 4. In that case, if we take away 1 unit of  $X_1$ , from the production of  $Q_2$ , and transfer it to the production of  $Q_1$ , the latter would release 4 units of  $X_2$  in exchange, so that the output level of  $Q_1$  might remain constant.

These 4 units of  $X_2$  should be transferred to the production of  $Q_2$  because there the MRTS is 4, and when 4 units of  $X_2$  are given to be used in the production of  $Q_2$  in exchange for 1 unit of  $X_1$ , the output of  $Q_2$  would remain unchanged at the initial level.

Therefore, by means of a reallocation of the resources, we have not been able to increase the production of at least one of the goods. On the contrary, a reallocation of the inputs would keep the

outputs of the two goods unchanged at their initial quantities.